## What is LP

Generally speaking, all problems with linear objective and linear equalities\inequalities constraints could be considered as Linear Programming. However, there are some widely accepted formulations.
(LP.Basic)
for some vectors $c \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. Where the inequalities are interpreted component-wise.

## Standard form

This form seems to be the most intuitive and geometric in terms of visualization. Let us have vectors $c \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$.

$$
\min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} c^{\top} x
$$

## Canonical form

$$
\min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} c^{\top} x
$$

s.t. $A x \leq b$
(LP.Canonical)

$$
x_{i} \geq 0, i=1, \ldots, n
$$

## Real world problems

## Diet problem

Imagine, that you have to construct a diet plan from some set of products:
© . Each of the products has its own vector of nutrients. Thus, all the food information could be processed through the matrix $W$. Let also assume, that we have the vector of requirements for each of nutrients $r \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. We need to find the cheapest configuration of the diet, which meets all the requirements:

$$
\min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{p}} c^{\top} x
$$

s.t. $W x \geq r$

$$
x_{i} \geq 0, i=1, \ldots, n
$$



## Requirements

$$
W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p},
$$

## Proteins

 Carbs Fats Calories Vitamin D$\mathrm{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$ - cost per $100 \mathrm{~g} \quad \min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{p}} c^{\top} x$ $x \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$
$W x \geq r$

## How to retrieve LP

Dbошстbenност $B L P$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c^{\top} x \rightarrow \min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \\
& \text { LP. Standard } \\
& \text { neproonp } S=\varnothing \\
& L(x, \lambda))=c^{\top} x+\nu^{\top}(A x-b)+\lambda^{\top}(-x) \\
& A x=b \\
& g(\lambda, \nu)=\inf _{x} L(x, \lambda, \nu)= \\
& 3 a g a r a \quad x^{*}=A^{-1} b \\
& \text { gonyerma } \\
& =\inf _{x} \frac{\left(C^{\top}+\nu^{\top} A-\lambda^{\top}\right) x-\nu^{\top} b}{\left.\left(g\left(\lambda_{2}\right)\right)=-\nu^{\top} b, A^{\top}\right)-\lambda+c=0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Dboūcib zagara. $g(\lambda, \nu) \rightarrow \max _{\lambda \geqslant 0}$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-\nu^{\top} b \rightarrow \max _{\lambda_{1} J^{\prime}} \\
\lambda^{\top} \geqslant 0 \\
A^{\top} \nu+c=\lambda \\
-b^{\top} V \rightarrow \max _{\nu} \\
A^{\top} \nu+c \geqslant 0 \\
b^{\top} V \rightarrow \min _{\nu} \\
A^{\top} \nu \succeq-c
\end{array}
$$

ynpaxieftice:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
c^{\top} x \rightarrow \min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \\
A x \preceq b
\end{array}
$$

nocipoü te gboúciberryro

$$
L=c^{\top} x+\lambda^{\top}(A x-b)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L=c^{\top} x+\lambda(A x-D) \\
& g(\lambda)=\inf _{x} L(x, \lambda)=\left(c^{\top}+\lambda^{\top} A\right) x-\lambda^{\top} b \\
& n^{\top} \lambda=-c
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
g(\lambda)=-\lambda^{\top} b, A^{\top} \lambda=-c
$$



$\lambda \geqslant 0$
$A^{\top} \lambda=-C$

imequality

## Basic transformations

Inequality to equality by increasing the dimension of the problem by $m$.

$$
A x \leq b \leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A x+z=b \\
z \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

unsigned variables to nonnegative variables.

$$
x \leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x=x_{+}-x_{-} \\
x_{+} \geq 0 \\
x_{-} \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Chebyshev approximation problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\|A x-b\|_{\infty} \leftrightarrow \min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \max _{i}\left|a_{i}^{\top} x-b_{i}\right| \\
& \min _{t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} t \\
& \text { s.t. } a_{i}^{\top} x-b_{i} \leq t, i=1, \ldots, n \\
&-a_{i}^{\top} x+b_{i} \leq t, i=1, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

## $l_{1}$ approximation problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \| & \|x-b\|_{1} \leftrightarrow \min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|a_{i}^{\top} x-b_{i}\right| \\
& \min _{t \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathbf{1}^{\top} t \\
\text { s.t. } & a_{i}^{\top} x-b_{i} \leq t_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n \\
& -a_{i}^{\top} x+b_{i} \leq t_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

## Idea of simplex algorithm

The Simplex Algorithm walks along the edges of the polytope, at every corner choosing the edge that decreases $c^{\top} x$ most
This either terminates at a corner, or leads to an unconstrained edge $(-\infty$ optimum)

We will illustrate simplex algorithm for the simple inequality form of LP:

$$
\min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} c^{\top} x
$$

$$
\text { s.t. } A x \leq b
$$

Definition: a basis $B$ is a subset of $n$ (integer) numbers between 1 and $m$, so that $\operatorname{rank} A_{B}=n$. Note, that we can associate submatrix $A_{B}$ and corresponding righthand side $b_{B}$ with the basis $B$. Also, we can derive a point of intersection of all these hyperplanes from basis: $x_{B}=A_{B}^{-1} b_{B}$.

If $A x_{B} \leq b$, then basis $B$ is feasible.
A basis $B$ is optimal if $x_{B}$ is an optimum of the LP. Inequality.


Since we have a basis, we can decompose our objective vector $c$ in this basis and find the scalar coefficients $\lambda_{B}$ :

$$
\lambda_{B}^{\top} A_{B}=c^{\top} \leftrightarrow \lambda_{B}^{\top}=c^{\top} A_{B}^{-1}
$$

## Main lemma

If all components of $\lambda_{B}$ are non-positive and $B$ is feasible, then $B$ is optimal.

## Proof:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\exists x^{*}: A x^{*} & \leq b, c^{\top} x^{*}<c^{\top} x_{B} \\
A_{B} x^{*} & \leq b_{B} \\
\lambda_{B}^{\top} A_{B} x^{*} & \geq \lambda_{B}^{\top} b_{B} \\
c^{\top} x^{*} & \geq \lambda_{B}^{\top} A_{B} x_{B} \\
c^{\top} x^{*} & \geq c^{\top} x_{B}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Changing basis

Suppose, some of the coefficients of $\lambda_{B}$ are positive. Then we need to go through the edge of the polytope to the new vertex (i.e., switch the basis)


Finding an initial basic feasible solution
Let us consider LP.Canonical.

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} c^{\top} x \\
\text { s.t. } & A x=b \\
& x_{i} \geq 0, i=1, \ldots, n
\end{array}
$$

The proposed algorithm requires an initial basic feasible solution and corresponding basis. To compute this solution and basis, we start by multiplying by -1 any row $i$ of $A x=b$ such that $b_{i}<0$. This ensures that $b \geq 0$. We then introduce artificial variables $z \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and consider the following LP:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, z \in \mathbb{R}^{m}} 1^{\top} z \\
& \text { s.t. } A x+I z=b  \tag{LP.Phase1}\\
& \quad x_{i}, z_{j} \geq 0, i=1, \ldots, n j=1, \ldots, m
\end{align*}
$$

which can be written in canonical form $\min \left\{\tilde{c}^{\top} \tilde{x} \mid \tilde{A} \tilde{x}=\tilde{b}, \tilde{x} \geq 0\right\}$ by setting

$$
\tilde{x}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
z
\end{array}\right], \quad \tilde{A}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
A & I
\end{array}\right], \quad \tilde{b}=b, \quad \tilde{c}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0_{n} \\
1_{m}
\end{array}\right]
$$

An initial basis for LP.Phase 1 is $\tilde{A}_{B}=I, \tilde{A}_{N}=A$ with corresponding basic feasible solution $\tilde{x}_{N}=0, \tilde{x}_{B}=\tilde{A}_{B}^{-1} \tilde{b}=\tilde{b} \geq 0$. We can therefore run the simplex method on LP.Phase 1 , which will converge to an optimum $\tilde{x}^{*} . \tilde{x}=\left(\tilde{x}_{N} \tilde{x}_{B}\right)$. There are several possible outcomes:

$$
\tilde{c}^{\top} \tilde{x}>0
$$

. Original primal is infeasible.

$$
\tilde{c}^{\top} \tilde{x}=0 \rightarrow 1^{\top} z^{*}=0
$$

. The obtained solution is a start point for the original problem (probably with slight modification).

## Convergence

## Klee Minty example

In the following problem simplex algorithm needs to check $2^{n}-1$ vertexes with $x_{0}=0$.
$\max _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} 2^{n-1} x_{1}+2^{n-2} x_{2}+\cdots+2 x_{n-1}+x_{n}$
s.t. $x_{1} \leq 5$
$4 x_{1}+x_{2} \leq 25$
$8 x_{1}+4 x_{2}+x_{3} \leq 125$
$2^{n} x_{1}+2^{n-1} x_{2}+2^{n-2} x_{3}+\ldots+x_{n} \leq 5^{n} \quad x \geq 0$


## Strong duality

There are four possibilities:
Both the primal and the dual are infeasible.
The primal is infeasible and the dual is unbounded.
The primal is unbounded and the dual is infeasible.
Both the primal and the dual are feasible and their optimal values are equal.

## Summary

A wide variety of applications could be formulated as the linear programming.
Simplex algorithm is simple, but could work exponentially long.

Khachiyan's ellipsoid method is the first to be proved running at polynomial complexity for LPs. However, it is usually slower than simplex in real problems.

Interior point methods are the last word in this area. However, good implementations of simplex-based methods and interior point methods are similar for routine applications of linear programming.

## Code

## ce Open in Colab

## Materials

Linear Programming. in V. Lempitsky optimization course.
Simplex method. in V. Lempitsky optimization course.
Overview of different LP solvers
TED talks watching optimization
Overview of ellipsoid method
Comprehensive overview of linear programming
Converting LP to a standard form

